

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/924,350	08/08/2001		Soren Ebdrup	5738.204-US	3619
	7590	07/25/2002			
Reza Green,	Esq.		EXAMINER		
Novo Nordisk of North America Inc. Suite 6400				BALASUBRAMANIAN, VENKATARAMAN	
405 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10174-6401				ART UNIT	. PAPER NUMBER
				1624	
				DATE MAILED: 07/25/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/924,350	EBDRUP ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Venkataraman Balasubramanian	1624				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1)∐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
- / □ 2a) [ □		— · s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application	•						
	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	niner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)[] T	he proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) 🗌 .	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
;	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)							
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/924,350

Art Unit: 1624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-23 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-23, drawn to compound of formula I, wherein the ring A is a thieno ring, process of making, composition and method of use, classified in class 544, subclass 10, class 514, subclass 222.8.
- II. Claims 1-17, drawn to process of making compound of formula I wherein the ring A is 5-membered heterocyclic ring other than thieno ring, classified in class 544, subclass 10.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I as noted above relates a compound of formula I, a process of making, composition and method of use. Hence invention I and II are partly related as they embrace the same process of making compound of formula I. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product as evidenced by the instant claims which recites the process I is applicable to any five membered fused thiadiazines as well as from the references cited in the Information Disclosure Statement which shows the process the same process for making 5 and 6 membered hetero ring fused thiadiazines. See Pirotte et al. US

Application/Control Number: 09/924,350

Art Unit: 1624

5,459,138 and Nielsen et al. WO 97/26265. In addition, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. See Nielsen et al.

Furthermore, if the compound of invention were patentably distinct the process of making would also be patentably distinct and rejoinder would be proper, whereas the same does not apply to the invention to which is limited a process of making structurally distinct class of compounds. Furthermore, a prior art, which teaches on thienothiadiazine, may not be applicable to the process of invention II unless an equivalency of all A ring core is taught in the prior art or asserted by the applicants.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: See claims 18 and 19 wherein thieno[2,3-e]-1,2,4-thiadiazine species are claimed.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-17, 20-23 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

4,350 Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/924,350

Art Unit: 1624

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Reza Green on July 11, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicants requested a written restriction. Hence the restriction is set forth in writing.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/924,350

Art Unit: 1624

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be addressed to Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (703) 305-1674. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8.00 AM to 6.00 PM. The Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624 is Mukund Shah whose telephone number is (703) 308-4716.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

V. Balasuhramanian Venkataraman Balasubramanian

7/23/2002.